International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Topics
Volume 5, Issue 10, October 2024
https://www.ijramt.com | ISSN (Online): 2582-7839

Proximal Ulna Dorsal Angulation – A Potentially Useful Radiologic Parameter

Yudha Satria[1*], Aga Shahri Putera Ketaren[2], Muhammad Hidayat Siregar[3]

[1]*Resident, Department of Orthopaedics & Traumatology, Fakultas Kedokteran, Universitas Sumatera Utara/Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan, Indonesia*

[2,3]*Staff, Department of Orthopaedics & Traumatology, Fakultas Kedokteran, Universitas Sumatera Utara/Adam Malik General Hospital, Medan, Indonesia*

***Abstract*: The structure of the adult ulna is distinct compared to other long bones documented in the literature. However, to the best of our knowledge, the dorsal angulation of the proximal ulna has not been thoroughly detailed. When utilizing modern straight pre-contoured ulnar plates, recognizing the proximal ulna dorsal angulation (PUDA) becomes a crucial anatomical reference for surgeons managing proximal ulna fractures, nonunions, malunions, or performing osteotomies.**

***Keywords*: proximal ulna dorsal angulation, ulna, anatomy.**

1. Introduction

Proximal ulna dorsal angulation (PUDA) is an anatomical characteristic of ulna that has gained increasing attention in orthopaedic and rehabilitation medicine. Defined as the angle formed between the subcutaneous border of olecranon and the ulnar shaft, PUDA plays a crucial role in understanding elbow biomechanics and its implications for surgical interventions. Recent studies indicate that this dorsal angulation is present in a significant majority of elbow radiographs; underscoring its importance in clinical practice [1].

2. Definition and Anatomy of Proximal Ulna

The proximal ulna is vital component of the forearm, playing a crucial role in elbow stability and function. Anatomically, it consists of several key features: olecranon, coronoid process and ulnar shaft. The olecranon forms prominent bony tip of the elbow, serving as attachment point for muscles, such as triceps brachii. The coronoid process projects anteriorly from ulna, contributing to joint stability by articulating with the humerus during flexion. However, this structure is complex and understanding it requires attention to detail. Although many may overlook these features, their significance cannot be underestimated because they are essential for proper arm mechanics [2].

The proximal ulna exhibits a distinctive dorsal angulation, referred to as Proximal Ulna Dorsal Angulation (PUDA). This is defined as the angle formed between lines drawn perpendicular to both the subcutaneous border of olecranon and along ulnar shaft. Radiographic studies have consistently observed this angulation, with an average angle reported at approximately 5.7 degrees. Understanding this anatomical characteristic is essential for clinicians, as it aids in accurate diagnosis and treatment planning for various conditions affecting elbow mechanics, including fractures or malunions. However, recognizing PUDA's implications can enhance surgical techniques related to anatomic plating of ulnar fractures; this ensures proper alignment and stabilization. Although a comprehensive understanding of both anatomy and biomechanics related to PUDA is pivotal in orthopaedic practice, many practitioners may overlook its significance. Thus, it is crucial to prioritize this knowledge, because it informs better clinical outcomes [3].

3. Factors Contributing to Dorsal Angulation in Ulna

Dorsal angulation in ulna is influenced by variety of factors, both anatomical and pathological. One significant contributor is inherent morphology of ulna itself, which typically exhibits natural dorsal angulation that averages around 5.7 degrees. This anatomical characteristic can be affected by developmental changes during growth; for instance, studies have shown that proximal ulna dorsal angulation (PUDA) decreases with age in pediatric populations, indicating dynamic relationship between bone structure and maturation. Traumatic injuries also play critical role in altering angle of ulna. Fractures or malunions can lead to deviations from normal PUDA, complicating surgical interventions and potentially resulting in functional impairments such as decreased range of motion. Furthermore, external factors like alcohol consumption have been identified as risk factors for poor prognosis following surgical repair of olecranon fractures; this suggests that lifestyle choices may further exacerbate issues related to dorsal angulation.[4], [5]

Furthermore, variations in loading patterns (due to physical activity or occupational stress) can lead to modifications in ulnar alignment over time. The interplay between these various elements underscores the complexity of understanding dorsal angulation in the ulna; this highlights the importance of comprehensive assessments when addressing related clinical conditions. As research continues to evolve in this area, it will be essential to explore how these contributing factors impact treatment outcomes and rehabilitation strategies. However, the

*Corresponding author: dr.yudhasatria@gmail.com

intricacies of these relationships can be challenging, because they often involve multiple variables. Although progress has been made, further investigation is needed to fully grasp the implications of such dynamics [4], [5].

4. Clinical Implications and Symptoms

Clinical implications of PUDA hold significant weight; they pertain to elbow function and surgical outcomes. Abnormal PUDA can result in a variety of symptoms—primarily marked by decreased range of motion and functional impairment in elbow joint. Patients often find it difficult to engage in activities requiring flexion or extension, which impacts daily tasks like lifting or reaching. Such limitations emerge from malunions or misalignment after fractures, where deviations exceeding 5 degrees between injured and uninjured elbow correspond with notable reductions in both flexion and extension capabilities. However, understanding these implications is crucial, because it informs treatment strategies aimed at restoring function. Although the clinical picture can be complex, this knowledge aids in optimizing patient outcomes [6].

Clinicians must remain vigilant in recognizing these symptoms (as they often indicate underlying anatomical discrepancies) that could complicate treatment plans. The presence of PUDA malunion has been shown to not only affect motion, however, it also influences overall functional outcomes. Although some studies report no significant difference in quality of life scores between patients with and without malunion, the subjective experience of pain and discomfort remains a critical factor for practitioners to address. Moreover, understanding the implications of PUDA is vital for guiding surgical interventions: such as open reduction internal fixation (ORIF), where accurate anatomical alignment is crucial for optimal recovery. Consequently, thorough preoperative assessments utilizing advanced imaging techniques are essential for determining the appropriate surgical approach and minimizing postoperative complications associated with altered proximal ulna morphology [4], [6].

Many studies have been reported the values of PUDA, as shown in Table 1.

5. Imaging

Diagnostic imaging techniques are crucial for accurate assessment of PUDA and play a significant role in diagnosis and treatment planning. Radiographic examination—particularly through standard X-rays—remains the primary method for evaluating PUDA. Studies have shown that this angle can be reliably measured on bilateral elbow radiographs; an average angulation of approximately 5.7 degrees is observed in a considerable majority of cases. The ability to quantify PUDA is vital for clinicians because it aids in identifying deviations from normal anatomical alignment that may arise from trauma or developmental changes. However, understanding these measurements requires a comprehensive approach to imaging, as subtle variations can significantly impact patient outcomes. Although the process may seem straightforward, it often involves intricate considerations [3], [11], [20].

Advanced imaging modalities (such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)) can further enhance diagnostic accuracy, providing detailed views of ulnar morphology and surrounding soft tissues. These techniques are particularly useful in complex cases where fractures or malunions complicate the interpretation of standard radiographs. For instance, CT scans allow for three-dimensional reconstructions that can elucidate subtle deformities not easily visualized on conventional X-rays. Additionally, MRI can assess associated soft tissue injuries or bone marrow edema that may accompany ulnar abnormalities. This integration of these diagnostic imaging techniques not only improves preoperative planning but also informs postoperative evaluations to monitor healing and alignment post-surgery. However, a comprehensive approach utilizing various imaging modalities is imperative for optimizing outcomes related to PUDA management, because it ensures effective surgical interventions. Although the advancements in these technologies are remarkable, their implementation must be approached with caution [3], [11], [20].

Surgical applications and challenges (involving PUDA) present both opportunities and difficulties for orthopaedic surgeons. Accurate recognition of PUDA is critical during surgical interventions; this is particularly true in the context of fractures or malunions of the ulna. Anatomical knowledge gained from studies (which indicate a consistent average angle of approximately 5.7 degrees) assists surgeons in achieving proper alignment during procedures such as open reduction internal fixation (ORIF). However, this alignment is essential not only for fracture stabilization, but also for ensuring optimal functional recovery post-surgery. Although the challenges are

Table 1
Values of proximal ulna dorsal angulation

Study	Result
Totlis et al [7]	Mean PUDA of 8.49° ± 2.69°
Beşer et al *[8]*	Mean PUDA of 8.0° ± 2.3°
Lenoir et al [9]	Median PUDA of 14.9° (11.7° to 16.8°)
Adikrishna et al [10]	Average PUDA was 176°±1° (it is understood that it is equivalent in other studies at 4.0°±1°)
Savakkanavar and Babu [11]	Studied bilateral elbow radiographs of 60 patients, mean PUDA was 5.6° on the right side and 5.1° on the left side.
Jarvie et al [12]	Mean PUDA of 3.7° (2.9°–4.5°)
Erdem [13]	Mean PUDA (maximum anterior angulation) of 9.12°±4.35°
Yeung et al [14]	Reviewed 514 lateral elbow radiographs, median PUDA was 4.7°
Soltani et al [15]	Used 120 bilateral lateral elbow radiographs, measured mean PUDA of 1.65°±5.65°
Öztürk et al [16]	Examined 25 ulnae, mean PUDA of 5.94°±2.01°
Wang et al [17]	Obtained the same PUDA result that was present in 80% of models.
Aydın Kabakçı et al [18]	PUDA was 5.85°±2.21°
Saglam et al [19]	Median PUDA was 8° (minimum: -3° – maximum: 20°)

significant, the potential for improved outcomes makes the understanding of PUDA vital [3], [11], [20].

However, several challenges complicate these surgical applications. Variability in individual anatomy can lead to deviations from the expected PUDA, necessitating intraoperative adjustments that may prolong surgery and increase complication risks. Moreover, cases involving significant comminution or distortion due to trauma can obscure clear anatomical landmarks, making it difficult to achieve precise reductions. In addition to mechanical difficulties, factors such as patient age and pre-existing conditions can further influence surgical outcomes; younger patients may exhibit different morphological characteristics that require tailored approaches compared to older populations. Although these complexities exist, surgeons must navigate them effectively because the success of procedures depends on it. This intricate interplay of variables necessitates a keen understanding of both anatomy and patient-specific factors, however, many practitioners may face challenges adapting their techniques accordingly [3], [11], [20].

Furthermore, achieving anatomic reduction is paramount since malunion has been linked with diminished elbow range of motion and overall functional impairment. Surgeons must remain vigilant about the risk factors associated with poor outcomes post-surgery—such as alcohol consumption—which could compromise rehabilitation efforts. However, while understanding PUDA enhances surgical precision and efficacy, it also underscores the necessity for comprehensive preoperative planning and individualized postoperative care strategies to address these inherent challenges effectively; this is crucial because successful outcomes depend on meticulous attention to detail.

6. Impact of PUDA on Prosthetic Design and Rehabilitation

The influence of PUDA on prosthetic design and rehabilitation is profound; particularly, it pertains to elbow function and recovery after injuries or surgical procedures. The anatomical trait of PUDA—with an average angle of roughly 5.7 degrees—guides the creation of prosthetic devices designed to restore elbow joint functionality. A thorough comprehension of this angulation is essential for engineers and clinicians alike, because it affects how prosthetics align with the residual limb and interact with adjacent structures during motion. Moreover, rehabilitation protocols must consider variations in PUDA to optimize outcomes. When creating rehabilitation programs post-surgery or injury, clinicians must account for how deviations from typical angulation can influence the range of motion and overall functionality. For example, patients with significant PUDA malunion may face limitations in flexion and extension capabilities, which could hinder their progress during therapy [10], [14].

Advancements in imaging techniques that accurately measure PUDA can, however, significantly aid in customizing prosthetic fittings and rehabilitation strategies tailored to individual anatomical variations. By integrating detailed radiographic assessments into preoperative planning and postoperative evaluations, healthcare providers (and professionals) can enhance both the effectiveness of prosthetic devices and the efficacy of rehabilitation efforts. Ultimately, a comprehensive approach that encompasses an understanding of PUDA will facilitate improved functional outcomes for patients undergoing elbow reconstruction or receiving upper limb prosthetics [10], [14].

7. Future Research

Future research on PUDA should focus on several key areas (to enhance clinical understanding and improve patient outcomes). Longitudinal studies examining how PUDA evolves with age across diverse populations would provide invaluable insights into normative values and variations due to developmental factors, because such research could elucidate the implications of age-related changes in PUDA for surgical planning and rehabilitation strategies [4].

Furthermore, examining the biomechanical impact of varying degrees of PUDA on elbow function will be crucial (indeed). Understanding how fluctuations in this angulation affect joint mechanics could inform both surgical techniques and postoperative rehabilitation protocols. Future studies might also investigate the connection between PUDA malunion and specific functional deficits. This could establish clearer guidelines for intervention thresholds; however, advancements in imaging technologies warrant exploration to refine methods for measuring PUDA accurately. Integrating three-dimensional imaging techniques could enhance preoperative assessments by providing a more comprehensive view of anatomical relationships that influence surgical outcomes. Although these developments are promising, challenges remain (e.g., accuracy and accessibility) [3], [10].

Finally, there exists a pressing need (for research) into tailored prosthetic designs that accommodate individual variations in PUDA. This focus would not only enhance prosthetic alignment; it could also optimize functional recovery post-surgery or injury. By addressing these future directions, researchers can significantly contribute to improving clinical practices surrounding proximal ulna dorsal angulation (and its associated challenges) in orthopaedic care. However, this endeavour is complex, because it requires a deep understanding of both individual anatomy and the mechanics involved. Although progress is being made, there remains much work to be done; but the potential benefits could be transformative [4], [14].

8. Conclusion

In conclusion, PUDA represents a critical aspect of elbow anatomy that significantly influences clinical practice in orthopaedic medicine. Understanding the definition and anatomy of proximal ulna provides foundational knowledge for recognizing how variations in PUDA arise from both developmental factors and traumatic injuries. Surgical applications related to PUDA reveal both opportunities for enhanced anatomical alignment and challenges stemming from individual anatomical variability and trauma-induced complications. Furthermore, the implications of PUDA extend into prosthetic design and rehabilitation strategies, emphasizing the need for tailored approaches that consider individual patient characteristics; however, this complexity necessitates ongoing research and adaptation. Although advancements have been made, clinicians must remain vigilant because each case presents unique factors that must be addressed accordingly.

References

[1] S. Siebenlist, A. Buchholz, and K. F. Braun, "Fractures of the proximal ulna: current concepts in surgical management," *EFORT Open Rev.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–9, Jan. 2019.

[2] Q. M. Masood, M. Qulaghassi, U. Grewal, R. Bawale, M. Kammela, and B. Singh, "Proximal ulna fractures in adults: A review of diagnosis and management," *J. Clin. Orthop. Trauma*, vol. 20, p. 101481, Sep. 2021.

[3] D. M. Rouleau, K. J. Faber, and G. S. Athwal, "The proximal ulna dorsal angulation: a radiographic study," *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 26–30, Jan. 2010.

[4] D. M. Rouleau *et al.*, "The influence of proximal ulnar morphology on elbow range of motion," *J. Shoulder Elbow Surg.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 384–388, Mar. 2012.

[5] M. Mostowy *et al.*, "Proximal ulna morphology in various pediatric population age groups: proximal ulna dorsal angulation (PUDA) and olecranon tip-to-apex (TTA) distance," *Surg. Radiol. Anat. SRA*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 893–899, Jul. 2023.

[6] J. Chapleau *et al.*, "Impact of olecranon fracture malunion: Study on the importance of PUDA (Proximal Ulna Dorsal Angulation)," *Injury*, vol. 47, no. 11, pp. 2520–2524, Nov. 2016.

[7] T. Totlis, N. Anastasopoulos, S. Apostolidis, G. Paraskevas, I. Terzidis, and K. Natsis, "Proximal ulna morphometry: which are the 'true' anatomical preshaped olecranon plates?," *Surg. Radiol. Anat.*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1015–1022, Dec. 2014.

[8] C. G. Beşer *et al.*, "Redefining the proximal ulna anatomy," *Surg. Radiol. Anat.*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1023–1031, Dec. 2014.

[9] H. Lenoir, M. Chammas, J. P. Micallef, C. Lazerges, T. Waitzenegger, and B. Coulet, "The effect of the anatomy of the distal humerus and proximal ulna on the positioning of the components in total elbow arthroplasty," *Bone Jt. J.*, vol. 97-B, no. 11, pp. 1539–1545, Nov. 2015.

[10] A. Adikrishna, "Dorsal apex curve of the proximal ulna," *ACTA Orthop. Traumatol. Turc.*, 2016.

[11] P. Savakkanavar and C. Pasha Babu, "An assessment of proximal ulna dorsal angulation using digital radiographic images," *Int. J. Orthop. Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 2a, pp. 26–29, Apr. 2017.

[12] G. C. Jarvie, B. Kilb, R. Willing, G. J. King, and P. Daneshvar, "Apparent Proximal Ulna Dorsal Angulation Variation Due to Ulnar Rotation," *J. Orthop. Trauma*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. e120–e123, Apr. 2019.

[13] H. Erdem, "Surgical Importance of Radiographically Aided Morphometry of the Proximal Ulna," *Int. J. Morphol.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 299–304, Apr. 2020.

[14] C. M. Yeung, J. Lans, J. B. Kuechle, Z. Wright, C. Y. Chang, and S. A. Lozano-Calderón, "Evaluation of the Proximal Ulna Dorsal Angulation for Ulnar Component Sizing in Elbow Prosthetic Reconstruction After Distal Humeral Resection of Tumor," *JAAOS Glob. Res. Rev.*, vol. 4, no. 5, p. e20.00062, May 2020.

[15] R. Soltani *et al.*, "Evaluation The proximal ulna dorsal angulation and its related factors in radiology images of patients referred to Tehran hospitals in 2018," *Res Med*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 549–553, 2020.

[16] K. Öztürk, B. ÖzdemiR, A. Dursun, Y. Kastamoni, and S. Albay, "Anatomical architecture and angulations of the proximal ulna.," *SDÜ Tıp Fakültesi Derg.*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 419–426, Sep. 2021.

[17] D. Wang *et al.*, "Morphometric feature description of the proximal ulna based on quantitative measurement: a key consideration for implant design," *Surg. Radiol. Anat.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 215–224, Dec. 2022.

[18] A. D. Aydin Kabakçi, "Anatomical structure of the proximal part of the ulna.," *Harran Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Derg.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 412–420, Aug. 2022.

[19] L. Sağlam, "Do the Proximal Ulnar Angulations Have Correlations with Each Other? Anatomical Study and Review of the Literature," *J. Clin. Pract. Res.*, pp. 581–588, 2024.

[20] J. Reddy and S. Garikapati, "Radiographic study of proximal ulna dorsal angulation in south Indian population," *Surg. Update Int. J. Surg. Orthop.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 110–114.